# Appendix A

### Risk Assessment Tools from State Agencies

- Risk Assessment Tools from DSHS Pages A-1 through A-5
  - DSHS staff uses this tool to assess risks in conjunction with monitoring contracts.
  - Contact the DSHS Central Contracts Section at (360) 664-6071 for more information.
- Risk Assessment Tool from CTED, Office of Crime Victim Advocacy
   Pages A-6 through A-15
  - This tool is used by one unit within CTED to assess risks.
  - Contact the Office of Crime Victim Advocacy at (360) 725-2898 for more information.

#### **RISK ASSESSMENT WORKSHEET**

Service:		
Estimated Hours per W for Contract monitoring		Total # of Contracts:
	Monitoring Ac	tivities
<u>Activity</u>		Who Performs this Activity?
☐Contractor written s	elf-assessment	
		on
Review of billing and	d payment history	
		3
		S
☐ On-site visits for oth	er than monitoring	
		<del></del>
	<del>-</del>	

### **Mandatory Monitoring Activities**

<u>Activity</u>	Estimated Hours a Week
☐Review contractor invoices	
☐ Review contractor reports	
☐Review contractor audit reports	
☐Review contractor correction action pla	ans
Total hours per week spent on mandatory monitoring	

### **Other Monitoring Activities**

## **Estimated Hours a Week Activity** $\square$ Review contractor written self-assessment Review of billing and payment history Review of contractor reports ☐Survey of clients $\square$ Survey of social workers ☐Off-site questionnaire (desk monitoring) $\square$ On-site inspection or visit Review of contractor audit reports Review of contractor corrective action plans ☐Program monitoring Performance verification from other sources Review of other resources. ☐On-site visits for other than monitoring Total hours per week spent on other monitoring activities

### **Contractor Risk Factors**

Cont	ractor:	
Cont	ract #:	
	each checked box, rate the risk factors associated with the co e on a scale of 1-5, with 1 representing the lowest risk.	ontractor named
		(max 5 pts)
	Risk Factor	<b>Risk Points</b>
	Contract monitoring is required by law or regulation (such as the Single Audit Act.)	
	Contracts involving large dollar amounts (\$100,000 or more)	
	New contractors	
	New service	
	Contractors with multiple government funding sources	
	Contractors with current or past (within 2 years) performance problems	
	Service involving care or supervision of clients	
	High profile contractors or service	
	Funding source does not require an audit	
	Contractor has experienced large amount of staff turnover	
	Contractor has not had a comprehensive site visit from any DSHS administration within the past 2 years	
	Contractor with current or past (within 2 years) billing problems	
	Contractor with current or past (within 2 years) audit findings	
	Total Risk Points	

### **Risk Factors Summary**

Risk Factor	# of Contracts
Contract monitoring is required by law or regulation (such as the Single Audit Act.)	
Contracts involving large dollar amounts (\$100,000 or more)	
New contractors	
New service	
Contractors with multiple government funding sources	
Contractors with current or past (within 2 years) performance problems	
Service involving care or supervision of clients	
High profile contractors or service	
Funding source does not require an audit	
Contractor has experienced large amount of staff turnover	
Contractor has not had a comprehensive site visit from any DSHS administration within the past 2 years	
Contractor with current or past (within 2 years) billing problems	
Contractor with current or past (within 2 years) audit findings	

#### OCVA Procedure for Risk Assessment Tool (RAT)

Updated September 2003

- 1. A cover letter and Contractor Information Form (CIF) will be mailed to each client service contractor. For contracts on the state fiscal year of July to June, this request will be sent by July 15, of the first year of each biennium. For contracts on the federal fiscal year, the remaining contractors' requests will be sent by October 15, of the first year of the biennium. For all other remaining contracts with a different fiscal year, the request will be sent within 30-days of the date of contract execution.
- 2. The mailing of the cover letter and CIF will be accomplished in a coordinated, unit-wide manner.
- 3. The PA will set up a RAT database for each contractor and save it in a folder for that particular fiscal year. A new folder shall be created each fiscal year, so that past assessments, notes, and scores can be kept and reviewed. Included in the RAT file is the date the CIF was sent to the contractor, the date requested materials were returned to OCVA, and the date the RAT was completed. For shared contracts, each PC should include the date she/he completed the RAT specific to the program.
- 4. The PA receives the materials, records the date the materials were returned from the agency, and puts original materials in the central files for that contractor. The PA will then send an email to all PCs, listing the contractors who have sent materials in to OCVA. Individual PCs have the responsibility of gathering materials for the contractors for whom they are the coordinator.
- Each PC completes the assessment tool and notes the score for each program. Each program should do its own assessment and scoring of shared contractors. The total score is added up and noted at the end of the tool.
- 6. The RAT score determines a gradation of consequences. Due to significant differences in volume and program complexity, there are two different responses.

VAWA/DVLA	SEXUAL ASSAULT
LOW – Site monitoring visit is not necessary/desk monitoring sufficient. Desk monitoring is defined as:  Conduct RAT, review 1 month of backup, ensure required data reports are submitted, and track spending.	LOW – Site monitoring visit is not necessary/desk monitoring sufficient. Desk monitoring is defined as:  Conduct RAT, review 1 month of backup, ensure required data reports are submitted, and track spending.

LOW MEDIUM – Site monitoring visit is not necessary/desk monitoring sufficient but must be conducted no later than six months after RAT.  Desk monitoring is defined as:  LOW MEDIUM – Site monitoring visit is not necessary/desk monitoring sufficient.  Desk monitoring is defined as:	VAWA/DVLA	SEXUAL ASSAULT
Conduct RAT, review one month of backup, track	necessary/desk monitoring sufficient but must be conducted no later than six months after RAT.  Desk monitoring is defined as:  Conduct RAT, review backup for two invoices, ensures required data reports are submitted, and	necessary/desk monitoring sufficient.  Desk monitoring defined as:  Conduct RAT, review one month of backup, track spending, and conduct desk review of data reports

**HIGH MEDIUM** – Requires an on-site visit within the biennium. Consultation is required if 2 or more programs scored high medium.

**HIGH** – Action regarding this particular contract would begin immediately. That action may be to require back-up documentation or other appropriate action, to be determined with consultation of the PC with the PM. If this is a shared contract, there should be joint consultation and a joint visit might be scheduled. An on-site monitoring visit would be more extensive and occur within nine months. If two or more programs score high, then consultation between PCs must occur, and a joint site visit may be appropriate.

- 7. It is expected that this entire process will be coordinated amongst all programs and staff of OCVA. It is expected that site visit scheduling is shared and coordinated amongst all OCVA staff and programs. Contractors should not be asked for the same information multiple times or from multiple sources. Contractors should not be asked for information we have at hand or have access to within our own information systems.
  - \* Each PC has a list of shared contracts in order to determine which of her/his contracts may require consultation/input of other PCs within the unit during the RAT process.

### **Contractor Information Form**

Agency:	Date:
Form Completed by:	
Please include the following information when completing the	
<ul> <li>Copies of minutes from your three most recent Boa</li> </ul>	rd of Directors' Meetings
<ul> <li>The agency's most recent annual report</li> </ul>	
Agency Funding	
What is your approximate annual program budget for (include	ding all funding sources):
Sexual Assault Services:	
Domestic Violence Services:	
How much federal funding does your agency receive annua	illy?
In the past two years, has the agency expanded services or	created new services?
$\square$ YES $\square$ NO	
If yes, please describe:	
If yes, what new or existing resources support this expansion	on in services?
In the past two years, has the agency downsized? $\Box$ YES	$\square$ NO
If yes, please describe:	
Has the agency had any contracts terminated in the past tw	o years? 🗌 YES 🔲 NO
If yes, please describe:	

Please list funding sources other than OCVA who monitor contracts or conduct site visits with your agency:
Is your agency subject to an audit? $\square$ YES $\square$ NO
If yes, have there been any audit findings or exceptions in the last five years? $\square$ YES $\square$ NO If yes, please describe:
Please describe any audit findings that remain <i>unresolved</i> :
Does your agency (if not required) get an audit, and if so, how often?   YES  NO  How often?
Agency Staff and Volunteers
How many volunteers currently support your agency?
Please describe the role of volunteers in your agency:
Please list any new agency management staff (and their positions) who have joined the agency since July 1, 2002:

#### APPENDIX A

Please list any new program staff who have joined the	ne agency since	July 1, 2002:	
Please list any positions that are currently vacant wit	thin your agency	y:	
Has the agency recently restructured?  If yes, please describe:	☐ YES	□ NO	
Policy and Legal Issues			
Is there any litigation pending against the agency?  If yes, please describe:		☐ YES	$\square$ NO
I attest that the above is true and correct:			
Signature		Date	

#### **OCVA Risk Assessment Tool**

(Revised May 2003)

Agency:	
Date Contractor Information Form (CIF) Sent:	
Date CIF Materials Returned to OCVA:	

	Answers	Points	DVLA	SA	STOP
How many months since last routine site visit?	12 mo	0 pt			
(program or fiscal?)	24 mo	5pt			
	36 mo	10 pt			
Were concerns raised after the last routine site visit?	Yes	10 pt			
	No	0 pt			
If there were concerns raised, were they addressed by the	Yes	0 pt			
contractor satisfactorily?	No	10 pt			
Is there any undecided litigation pending against the contractor?	Yes	10 pt			
	No	0 pt			
Are we the only entity that monitors contracts?	Yes	10 pt			
	No	0 pt			
Is quarterly or monthly data submitted in a timely manner?	Almost always	0 pt			
	Sometime	5 pt			
	Rarely	10 pt			
Is data complete, accurate, filled out correctly?	Almost always	0 pt			
	Sometime	5 pt			
	Rarely	10 pt			
Is the organization subject to accreditation requirements?	Yes	0 pt			
	No	10 pt			
Has the organization had any difficulty obtaining accreditation,	Pass	0 pt			
if required?	Provisional to full	10 pt			
	Low to provisional	15 pt			
	Fail	20 pt			
Does the agency sub-contract for services?	No	0 pt			
	1-2 Subcontracts	5 pt			
	3+ Subcontracts	10 pt			
Are volunteers involved in the delivery of the program?	No	0 pt			
	Yes	10 pt			

#### **APPENDIX A**

	Answers	Points	DVLA	SA	STOP
How many contractor-initiated amendments have been made	0 – 1	0 pt			
during the contract period?	2 – 3	5 pt			
	3 +	10 pt			
Have responses to OCVA correspondence and requests for	Almost always	0 pt			
Information been timely and complete?	Sometime	5 pt			
(Including RFQ/P/Application deadlines and thoroughness)	Rarely	10 pt			
Has there been prior history of contract compliance issues?					
If the contractor has had any of the following actions, assign the					
Points corresponding to the action:					
Correspondence (reminding of late vouchers, data)		5 pt			
2. Corrective action (asking for more info, back-up, etc.)		10 pt			
3. Suspension of vouchers (holding vouchers because of		15 pt			
no response to 1 or 2 above)					
4. Probation		20 pt			
How often has there been contractor-initiated communication,	Frequently	10 pt			
indicating a lack of understanding of contract	Occasionally	5 pt			
requirements?	Rarely	0 pt			
Has there been excessive turnover of agency management?	< 1% in 24 mo	0 pt			
(i.e., executive director, accounting staff, etc.)	1-40% in 24 mo	5 pt			
	> 40% in 24 mo	10 pt			
Has there been excessive turnover of program staff?	< 1% in 24 mo	0 pt			
(i.e., program director, advocates, other direct service	1-33% in 24 mo	5 pt			
Staff, clerical staff?)	> 33% in 24 mo	10 pt			
Has the organization experienced any recent major	No	0 pt			
restructuring?	Yes	5 pt			
Does the board take an active role in directing the organization,	High	O pt			
establishing management policies and procedures and	Medium	5 pt			
monitoring the organization's financial and	Low	10 pt			
programmatic performance?					
What is the total amount of this contract?	< \$25,000	O pt			
	\$25 – 100,000	5 pt			
	> \$100,000	10 pt			
What level of experience does the contractor have with	6+ yrs	O pt			
OCVA contracts?	2-6 yrs	5 pt			
	0-2 yrs	10 pt			

	Answers	Points	DVLA	SA	STOP
Does this agency have multiple contracts with OCVA?	No	0 pt			
	Yes	5 pt			
If so, have there been problems or difficulties with any of the	No	0 pt			
other programs?	Yes	10 pt			
How would you rate their voucher history?	Excellent	O pt			
(complying with voucher requirements, including	Good	5 pt			
timelines, and accuracy)	Poor	10 pt			
Does the agency bill the contract monthly by 1/12 <sup>th</sup> ?	No	0 pt			
	Yes	10 pt			
Does this contract represent a significant portion of the total	< 11%	O pt			
program funding?	11 – 49%	5 pt			
(what is the percentage?)	> 50%	10 pt			
Is the entity subject to an audit?	Yes	0 pt			
	No	20 pt			
Have there been any audit findings or exceptions in the last five	Yes	5 pt			
years?	No	0 pt			
Are there any unresolved audit issues?	Yes	5 pt			
	No	0 pt			
Has the organization had any contracts terminated in the	Yes	15 pt			
past two years?	No	0 pt			

Ran	ge:					
0 – 79	Low Modium					
80 – 157 158 – 235	Low Medium High Medium	TOTAL RISK ASSESSMENT				NT
236 – 315	High					••
Date RAT completed:						

#### **APPENDIX A**

Here are some additional questions/concerns to share with each other. Add additional areas of concern, when applicable.
Are there any known conflicts between the Board and the staff?
Are there any known conflicts between the Director and the other staff members?
Are there any concerns about the quality or quantity of services being provided?
Are the concerns of such a magnitude that it warrants consideration of additional language in the statement of work, in order to be sure that quality services are being provided to victims or to be a better steward of public funds? If so, explain your rationale. (If PC is making recommendations here, there should also be consultation with the program manager.
Additional notes (this could include program events, positive observations, etc.)